

MOTION NUMBER 29 **Enhancing Safety at Whiteman Airport**

YOU CAN VIEW AND READ THE MOTION HERE:

[215858.pdf](#)

TO SUBMIT A COMMENT USE THIS LINK:

Online Portal/eComment: Many counties use an online system (e.g., [LA County Public Comment](#) or San Diego's [eComment](#)) to submit comments directly on specific agenda items.

Must be Submitted by 4 pm 5/04/2026

The form look like this:

First Name*	MI	Last Name*	
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Email	Phone		
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
Address	City	State	Zip Code
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	CA ▼	<input type="text"/>
Name of Organization Represented	Attach Word, Excel, or PDF supporting document(s). (5 attachments limit)		
<input type="text"/>	<input type="button" value="Upload File"/>		
Agenda Items			
<input type="text" value="Enter Keyword"/>	<input type="button" value="Q"/>	<input type="button" value="X"/>	

Under Agenda items type 29.

Below, check the box, it will enable to elect whether you support or oppose the measure



29. Enhancing Safety at Whiteman Airport



30. Support for Assembly Bill 1573 (Bryan) - Supportive Housing Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault,

SCAUWG.ORG Comment:

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors:

1. Suspending #22.1 denies knowledgeable stakeholders with related professional backgrounds from comment and allows those without formal training concerning the matter at hand to shape consequences. Recently, the BOS requested the OED to EXPAND and DELAY the \$ 1.3 million dollar report of Trifiletti Consultants and spend \$200,000 to do so. Now a few weeks later, this motion essentially says study is not needed. If so, allocating now what has become 1.5 million dollars to study Whiteman Airport would seem to be pointless and the misappropriation of public funds.
2. Whiteman Airport is NOT responsible for regulating the pilot activity of aircraft that are not even at the airport. Aircraft while in flight are regulated by the FAA, and certainly NOT by the County.
3. Blaming the airport for an accident aircraft enroute to it is tantamount to blaming the County of Los Angeles for the thousands of automobile and motorcycle accidents of those enroute to Los Angeles. The County can not be expected to be responsible for every accident vehicle. Anything otherwise would make the County liable for untold millions of dollars.
4. Referring to the Community Advisory Committee. The "CAC" did not represent the community. The CAC panel was primarily chosen by City Councilmember Monica Rodriquez and was chosen from obligated political staff members, those who receive grant monies from the City, and those with already well known bias that favored private developers accessing public land for fiscal benefit.
5. Certain CAC members voted against community improvement measures that they initially supported because not knowing that Whiteman Airport already had the benefit of perpetuity, they thought voting for the improvements to be paid for with Federal monies would obligate the airport to stay open longer.
6. Conclusion: Whiteman is not responsible for aircraft in the air. The CAC did not represent the community, in fact publicized several false statements of fact that denied the County's own studies. Vehicular accidents happen. Aircraft traffic is much safer than automobile traffic. Addressing otherwise is not only discrimination, but particularly in case, potentially harmful to not only those residents of Pacoima that chose where they are living, but also to the residents of the County-at-large who could be put in harm's way. The airport is referred to on record as recognized by the Baton heliport management and the FAA as necessary to both expediate emergency services, and to

be an important element of the National Airspace System. The motion that at first may sound inviting is faulty and those who are truly for the community's well-being should vote, "NO."